

CNC PLAT AB

västervik - Sweden | Forging, pressing, stamping and roll-forming of metal; powder metallurgy
 Company size: S | Assessment scope: **Entity**

Overall score
 ↘ **65**/100
 Percentile
69th



Scorecard

Publication date: 16 Mar 2026 Valid until: 16 Mar 2027

Overall score

Percentile
69th

↘ **65**/100



Environment

Impact on score ●●●○



Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●



Ethics

Impact on score ●○○○



Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●○○○



Environment

Impact on score ●●●○

● ↘ **65**/100

Environment | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● → **25**/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Environmental policy on materials, chemicals & waste

Environmental policy scope of application specified

Improvement areas

Medium priority Basic environmental policies: lacks details on specific issues

Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on energy consumption & GHGs

Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on water

Low priority No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend environmental policy

Low priority No quantitative target on environmental issues

Low priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on air pollution

Low priority No supporting evidence of dedicated responsibility for environmental policy

Environment | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → **0**/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

Low priority No conclusive information on endorsement of external initiatives or principles on environmental issues

Environment | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Improvement of energy efficiency through technology or equipment upgrades
Regular noise measuring campaign (site boundary noise measurements undertaken)
Internal sorting & disposal of waste according to waste streams
Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate noise
Water audit
Actions to prevent emissions of atmospheric pollutants and other environmental nuisances (e.g. noise, odor, vibration, road and light)
Training of employees on energy conservation/climate actions
Actions for labeling, storing, handling and transporting hazardous substances

Improvement areas

Low priority No supporting evidence of use of waste heat recovery systems or combined heat and power units
Low priority No supporting evidence of reduction of material consumption through process optimization
Low priority No supporting evidence of the implementation of a climate change recovery and adaptation plan for the company's operations
Low priority No supporting evidence of fuel switch to achieve higher energy efficiency or lower carbon emission intensity
Low priority No supporting evidence of reduction of internal wastes through material reuse, recovery or repurpose
Low priority No supporting evidence of specialized treatment and safe disposal of hazardous substances or waste
Low priority No supporting evidence of purchase or generation of renewable energy
Low priority No supporting evidence of the execution of a climate change physical risk and impact assessment for the company's operations
Low priority No supporting evidence of environmental emergency measures

Environment | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● → 100/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

ISO 14001 certified

Environment | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● ↘ 0/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

High priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on environmental issues
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of air pollutants
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total amount of water recycled and reused
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total amount of renewable energy consumed
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the percentage of recycled input material out of total materials consumed
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of hazardous waste
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of non-hazardous waste
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 2 GHG emissions (market or location based)
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of waste recovered
Low priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total energy consumption
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total water consumption

Environment | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → **75**/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

<p>No records found for this company on Compliance Database</p> <p>11 Feb 2026</p>	<p>360° Watch</p> <p>Impact on Score</p> <p>Neutral</p> <hr/> <p>Severity</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>valid from Feb 2026 to Feb 2031</p>
---	--

Labor & Human Rights Impact on score ●●●●

● → 70/100

Labor & Human Rights | Policies Impact on score ●●●●

● → 50/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Labor & human rights policy on employee health & safety
Labor & human rights policy on child labor, forced labor & human trafficking
Standard policy on a majority of labor or human rights issues
Labor & human rights policy on career management & training
Labor & human rights policy on preventing discrimination and harassment
Dedicated responsibility for labor and human rights policy
Labor and human rights policy scope of application specified
Formal mechanisms to review and amend labor and human rights policy

Improvement areas

Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on working conditions
Low priority No quantitative target on labor and human rights issues

Labor & Human Rights | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● → 0/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

Low priority No conclusive information on endorsement of external initiatives or principles on labor and human rights issues

Labor & Human Rights | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Equipment safety inspections or audits
Employee health and safety emergency action plan
Provision of skills development training
Other actions on career management & training
Regular assessment of individual performance
Formal discussions with employees on their career development
Provision of protective equipment to impacted employees
Training of employees on health and safety risks and best working practices
Grievance mechanism on discrimination and/or harassment issues
Employee satisfaction survey
Health care coverage of employees in place
Grievance mechanism on child labor, forced labor and/or human trafficking issues

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of training of employees on child labor, forced labor, and human trafficking
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to protect young workers
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to manage working hours and overtime
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to prevent discrimination during recruitment phase
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to prevent workplace harassment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of age verification of candidates before hiring
Low priority	No supporting evidence of compensation for extra or atypical working hours
Low priority	No supporting evidence of employee health and safety risk assessment
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to promote internal mobility
Low priority	No supporting evidence of training of employees on discrimination and harassment

Labor & Human Rights | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● → 50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

ISO 45001 certified

Labor & Human Rights | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● → 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Reporting on number of recordable work-related accidents

Reporting on the percentage of women employed in relation to the whole organization

Improvement areas

High priority Insufficient reporting on labor and human rights issues

Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting on the number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities, and ill health

Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)

Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting on the average hours of training per employee

Labor & Human Rights | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

11 Feb 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Feb 2026 to Feb 2031

Ethics

Impact on score ●○○○

● → 54/100

Ethics | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 25/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Disciplinary sanctions to deal with policy violations

Employee signature acknowledgement of ethics policy

Policy on information security

Dedicated responsibility for ethics issues

Formal mechanisms to review and amend ethics policy

Ethics policy scope of application specified

Detailed examples available regarding ethics issues

Improvement areas

Medium priority Basic ethics policies: lacks details on specific issues

Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on corruption

Low priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on conflict of interest

Low priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on fraud

Ethics | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → 0/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

Low priority No conclusive information on endorsement of external initiatives or principles on ethics issues

Ethics | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 50/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Implementation of a records retention schedule

Measures to protect third party data from unauthorized access or disclosure

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report corruption and bribery

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report information security concerns

Improvement areas

High priority No supporting evidence of corruption risk assessments

High priority No supporting evidence of information security risk assessments

Low priority No supporting evidence of an approval procedure for sensitive transactions (such as gifts, entertainment)

Low priority No supporting evidence of awareness training to prevent corruption and bribery

Ethics | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

Ethics | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Low priority

No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)

Ethics | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

11 Feb 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Feb 2026 to Feb 2031

Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●○○○

● ↗ 58/100

Sustainable Procurement | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 25/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Sustainable procurement policy on supplier environmental practices

Improvement areas

Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on supplier labor and human rights practices

Medium priority Basic sustainable procurement policies: lacks details on specific issues

Low priority No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend sustainable procurement policy

Low priority No supporting evidence of dedicated responsibility for sustainable procurement policy

Low priority No supporting evidence of a scope of application for sustainable procurement policy

Sustainable Procurement | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● → 0/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

Sustainable Procurement | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 50/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Supplier assessment on environmental and social practices

On-site audits of suppliers on environmental and social issues

Improvement areas

High priority No supporting evidence of the integration of social and environmental clauses into supplier contracts

Medium priority No supporting evidence of the training of buyers on social and environmental issues within the supply chain

Medium priority No supporting evidence of a risk assessment of adverse sustainability impacts in the supply chain

Medium priority No supporting evidence of a supplier sustainability code of conduct in place

Sustainable Procurement | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

Sustainable Procurement | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Declares using no tin, tantalum, tungsten, gold, and/or their derivatives (Not verified)

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions

Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)

Sustainable Procurement | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

11 Feb 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Feb 2026 to Feb 2031

The below disclaimers apply to you if you have no contractual relationship with EcoVadis:

1. This Scorecard has a validity of twelve (12) months from the issue date. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.
2. This Scorecard is based on the disclosed information and news resources available to EcoVadis at the time of assessment. Should any information or circumstances change materially during the period of the Scorecard validity, EcoVadis reserves the right to place the Scorecard on hold and, if considered appropriate, to re-assess and possibly issue a revised Scorecard.
3. This Scorecard is the intellectual property of EcoVadis and must not be: (i) copied, modified, translated, published, reproduced and/or (ii) used as part of or in connection with any other CSR/ESG assessment, unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis.
4. This Scorecard is the confidential information of EcoVadis. Unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis, this Scorecard and the rating must not be used by a third party as part of or in connection with any activity related to revenue generation and shared any further; it may be used only for a third party's internal purposes.
5. Only a Scorecard of a rated company having a Select subscription can be used for the purpose of ESG - linked loans and similar products offered by any financial institution outside of the EcoVadis solution.
6. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.